In 2011, the amount paid toward drug coverage by Canadian private drug plans reached $7.6 billion, an increase of $1.8 billion over the past five years, according to IMS Brogan’s Private Drug Plan Database. The corresponding increase in cost to plan sponsors has spurred discussions on the sustainability of group insurance plans and the need for a long-term perspective on potential solutions.

Specialty drugs have become a key focus in recent cost-containment discussions, due, partly, to their inherent high cost and increasing availability over the past decade. In 2001, there were only eight such products on the market with an annual treatment cost of more than $10,000 per user, only one of which cost more than $25,000. By 2011, this number had increased to 76 (with 25 over $25,000 and two exceeding $250,000). As manufacturers increasingly focus their research and development efforts on these costly specialized medicines, this trend is expected to continue. It’s no surprise, therefore, that group insurance providers and intermediaries are concerned for the affordability of some drug plans and are questioning the long-term impact to their own solvency.

Pooling Efforts

Most private drug plans have long mitigated their risk from catastrophic events and that of plan sponsors by spreading the risk of high-cost claimants over a pooled group. This is accomplished through either stop-loss pooling for non-insured groups or large-amount pooling for their insured business. The increasing availability and subsequent use of high-cost treatments (the number of claimants who had more than $25,000 in annual cost has jumped from 5,800 in 2007 to 13,400 in 2011, according to the Private Drug Plan Database) have, however, put increasing pressure on these existing pooling strategies. The Canadian Life and Health
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Insurance Association recently formed a pooling network across 23 Canadian insurance companies (representing 100% of the market for insured plans) in response to this threat. This new agreement becomes effective in 2013 and should better position qualifying plan sponsors (i.e., a certificate that exceeds a claim in excess of $50,000 for two consecutive years) to sustain their fully insured drug plans in the event that high-cost drugs are claimed. This, in turn, will benefit employees by ensuring they continue to have access to expensive drugs should they need them.

**Provincial Help**

Additional coverage for high-cost drugs may also be available through provincial catastrophic drug coverage programs, which are used to help individuals with high drug costs relative to their incomes. These programs often kick in when a resident’s out-of-pocket expenditure reaches a defined deductible. At that point, the plan sponsor is no longer responsible for any cost, and the employee will only need to pay a nominal portion. One example of provincial catastrophic drug coverage is the Ontario Trillium Drug Program, which is available to residents with a valid Ontario Health Card. Once the patient’s out-of-pocket prescription cost is greater than 4% of family income, he or she would only need to pay $2 for subsequent eligible prescriptions. Similar programs exist in most provinces to protect residents from high drug costs. The exceptions are New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island, but some effort has been made to address this situation. In New Brunswick, an advisory committee is working on the outline of a new catastrophic drug program. However, the government is struggling with budgetary challenges and has only committed to having this program in place sometime during its mandate, which expires in the summer of 2014. In Prince Edward Island, the Liberal government had hoped the federal government would provide funding for catastrophic drug coverage in a new health accord—something that appears unlikely. During the October 2011 election campaign, the governing Liberals promised $1 million over the next two years to help seniors with high-cost drugs.

**Claimants and Utilization**

While the possibility of a catastrophic claim is daunting for plan sponsors, incidence rates for conditions that require these high-cost treatments are extremely rare. In Canada, in 2011, 98.6% of claimants had an average annual cost of less than $5,000, and most (71.3%) were under $500 (see Figure 1, above).

Although it is easy to lose sight of this when confronted with an annual claimant cost of more than $1 million, long-term sustainability of private drug plan insurance will be achieved, in part, through a continued focus on the masses of relatively lower-cost claimants. The main driver of Canadian private drug plan cost increases is utilization. This is a combination of the number of plan members making claims and the frequency with which they are made (see Figure 2, below, for the average annual growth rates of key cost drivers). Over the past four years, the average annualized cost increase was 5.7%; however, this increase slowed in 2011 to 4.3%. Growth in the number of individuals actively participating in private drug plan coverage accounted for 3.6% of this annualized increase, with a corresponding increase in the average number of claims per claimant of 0.8%. Notably the price of drugs, or inflation, had a negative impact on spending over this time period—thus highlighting the importance utilization has from an overall drug benefit-expense growth perspective.

**Fig. 1 - Share of Claimants by Annual Drug Cost Range, 2011**

**Fig. 2 - Drug Plan Cost Drivers, Average Annual Growth, 2008–2011**
In 2011, 67.6% of spending by private drug plans was associated with just 15% of claimants.